Los Angeles, California 90049 T: 310.651.8685 • F: 310.651.8681	1	SELARZ LAW CORP.	->	
	2	DANIEL E. SELARZ (State Bar No. 287555 dselarz@selarzlaw.com	o)	
	3	11777 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 702 Los Angeles, California 90049		
	4	Telephone: 310.651.8685 Facsimile: 310.651.8681		
	5	Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), [CLIENT'S NAME(S)]		
	6			
	7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF [COUNTY], [DISTRICT]		
	8			
	9			
	10	[PLAINTIFF(S)], an individual,	Case No. [ ] Honorable [ ]	
	11	Plaintiff,	[Dept. [#]]	
	12	vs. [DEFENDANT(S)], and DOES 1 to [#],	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DEEM THE TRUTH OF MATTERS	
	13	inclusive, inclusive,	SPECIFIED IN REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET NO. [#]	
es, Calife 585 • F:	14	Defendants.	ADMITTED AND REQUEST FOR ORDER AWARDING MONETARY	
os Angel 10.651.8	15		SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANT AND DEFENSE COUNSEL IN THE	
T: 3	16		SUM OF \$560.00; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
	17		Filed Concurrently with Declaration of	
	18		Daniel E. Selarz, Esq, and Exhibits; [Proposed] Order	
	19		[California Code of Civil Procedure	
	20		("CCP") § 2033.280]	
	21		Date: [ ] Time: [ ]	
	22		Dept.: [	
	23		Action Filed: [ ] Trial Date: [ ]	
	24			
	25			
	26	///		
	27	///		
	28	///		

1 MOTION TO DEEM THE TRUTH OF MATTERS ADMITTED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on [Date], at [Time] or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in Department [#] of the above-entitled court, Plaintiff [CLIENT'S NAME] ("Plaintiff"), will move the court for an order deeming the truth of all matters specified in Request for Admissions, Set No. [#] admitted and conclusively established, served on Defendant [DEFENDANT'S NAME] on [Date].

Notice is further given that Plaintiff will request that the Court award monetary sanctions against Defendant and Defense Counsel, and in favor of Plaintiff in the sum of \$560.00 pursuant to *CCP* §§ 2023.010 et seq., 2033.280(c).

This motion is made pursuant to C.C.P. § 2033.280 on the grounds that the responding party has failed to timely serve responses to these admissions requests. Unverified responses are equivalent to "no response at all" and therefore do not constitute "substantial compliance" with CCP § 2033.220. (Allen-Pacific, Ltd. v. Superior Court, 57 Cal. App. 4th 1546, 1551, 67 Cal. Rptr. 2d 804 (1st Dist. 1997) (disapproved on other grounds in Wilcox v. Birtwhistle, 21 Cal. 4th 973, 983, 90 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260, 987 P.2d 727 (1999)); See also Appleton v. Superior Court, 206 Cal. App. 3d 632, 636, 253 Cal. Rptr. 762 (3d Dist. 1988).)).

This motion is further based upon this notice; the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities; the Declaration of Daniel E. Selarz and Exhibits, filed herewith; upon the records and files in this action; and upon such further evidence and argument as may be presented prior to or at the time of hearing on the motion.

23

24

25

26

27

# SELARZ LAW CORP.

11777 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 702Los Angeles, California 90049T: 310.651.8685 • F: 310.651.8681

# **SELARZ LAW CORP.**

By:		
	Daniel E. Selarz, Esq.	

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

[Client's Name(s)]

# T: 310.651.8685 • F: 310.651.8681

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

# **INTRODUCTION** I.

The present case arises out of a [Date], [Type of Accident], resulting in personal injuries to Plaintiff [Client's Name] ("Plaintiff"). On [Date], Plaintiff served Request for Admissions, Set No. [#], on Defendant [DEFENDANT'S NAME] ("Defendant"). (Declaration of Daniel E. Selarz, Esq., ("Selarz Decl.") ¶2; Exhibit "A".) Responses to these discovery requests, pursuant to pursuant to CCP § 2033.250(a), were due on [Date]. [Thirtyday response plus five calendar days if served by mail (CCP § 1013(a))]. Defendant failed to provide any responses to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Set No. [#]. (Selarz Decl., **¶**3).

Although no warning or attempts at an informal resolution are required prior to making a motion to deem matters admitted where no responses are received (*Demyer v*. Costa Mesa Mobile Home Estates, 36 Cal. App. 4th 393, 395, 42 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260 (4th Dist. 1995) (disapproved on other grounds in, Wilcox v. Birtwhistle, 21 Cal. 4th 973, 90 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260, 987 P.2d 727 (1999)); Leach v. Superior Court, 111 Cal. App. 3d 902, 906, 169 Cal. Rptr. 42 (3d Dist. 1980)), on [Date], Plaintiff sent a Meet and Confer Letter to Defense Counsel, requesting verified substantive responses, unilaterally allowing fifteen additional days to provide verified substantive responses. (Selarz Decl., ¶4; Exhibit "B".) It is now May 24, 2020, and, even after making efforts to meet and confer, granting a unilateral discovery extension, Defendant has provided no responses to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Set [#]. (Selarz Decl., ¶¶3-5.)

As a result of Defendant's willful refusal to serve responses to these requests, Plaintiff is unable to proceed with meaningful discovery. The information requested is necessary in order to proceed with depositions, and to effectively prosecute this action and prepare for trial. Accordingly, Plaintiff is forced to file the present motion, requesting a Court order compelling Defendant, to serve full and complete verified responses, without objections, to Request for Admissions, Set No. [#], served on Defendant on [Date]. Furthermore, Plaintiff requests monetary sanctions against Defendant and Defense Counsel, jointly, for their

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# II. THE COURT IS AUTHORIZED TO ORDER THAT THE TRUTH OF MATTERS SPECIFIED BE DEEMED ADMITTED

The California Discovery Act is unequivocal regarding a party's burden to make a reasonable and good faith effort to obtain the information and documents sought in Plaintiff's discovery requests, and to furnish complete and responsive discovery responses. Pantzalas v. Sup. Ct. (1969) 272 Cal.App.2d 499, 503; CCP §§ 2030.220, 2031.230. "If a party to whom requests for admission have been directed fails to serve a timely response...[t]he requesting party may move for an order that the genuineness of any documents and the truth of any matters specified in the requests be deemed admitted, as well as for a monetary sanction under Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 2023.010)." CCP § 2033.280(b). A deemed admitted order establishes, by judicial fiat, that a nonresponding party has responded to the requests by admitting the truth of all matters contained therein. Wilcox v. Birtwhistle, 21 Cal. 4th 973, 979, 90 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260, 987 P.2d 727 (1999). Any matter admitted in response to a request for admission is conclusively established against the party making the admission in the pending action, unless the court has permitted withdrawal or amendment of that admission under C.C.P. § 2033.300. (See CCP § 2033.410.)

The service and filing of requests pursuant to CCP § 2030.010 et seq. places the burden on the interrogated party to respond by response, the production of writings, or objection. The obligation of response must be satisfied unless excused by a protective order obtained on a factual showing of good cause why no response should be given. Coriell v. Superior Court, (1974) 39 Cal.App.3D 487, 492. The party served with requests has the burden of persuasion in establishing good cause why they should not be responded. (Coriell v. Superior Court, 39 Cal. App. 3d 487, 489, 114 Cal. Rptr. 310 (2d Dist. 1974).) Defendant cannot meet this burden, nor has made any attempt to obtain a protective order. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

propounding party's remedy is to file a motion to compel responses or further responses, and to seek monetary sanctions.

# A. Later-Served Responses In Substantial Compliance.

"The court shall make this order, unless it finds that the party to whom the requests for admission have been directed has served, before the hearing on the motion, a proposed response to the requests for admission that is in substantial compliance with Section 2033.220 [scope and requirements of response]." CCP § 2033.280(c). If no responses are served prior to the hearing on this motion, the court has no discretion but to grant this motion and deem the truth of the matters specified admitted. Demyer v. Costa Mesa Mobile Home Estates, 36 Cal. App. 4th 393, 395–96, 42 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260 (4th Dist. 1995) (disapproved on other grounds in Wilcox v. Birtwhistle, 21 Cal. 4th 973, 983, 90 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260, 987 P.2d 727 (1999)).

# B. Defendant Has Waived The Right To Object To These Requests

Regardless of whether Defendant serves responses in substantial compliance with C.C.P. § 2033.220 prior to the hearing on this motion, Defendant has waived any right to object to these admissions requests. "The party who fails to serve a timely response "(a)...waives any objection to the requests, including one based on privilege or on the protection for work product under Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 2018.010)." CCP § 2033.280(a).

As mentioned above, the time for Defendant to serve a timely response has expired and Plaintiff has received no responses to date. (Selarz Decl., ¶¶4, 6.) Unverified responses are equivalent to "no response at all" and therefore do not constitute "substantial compliance" with CCP § 2033.220. (Allen-Pacific, Ltd. v. Superior Court, 57 Cal. App. 4th 1546, 1551, 67 Cal. Rptr. 2d 804 (1st Dist. 1997) (disapproved on other grounds in *Wilcox* v. Birtwhistle, 21 Cal. 4th 973, 983, 90 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260, 987 P.2d 727 (1999)); See also Appleton v. Superior Court, 206 Cal. App. 3d 632, 636, 253 Cal. Rptr. 762 (3d Dist. 1988).)). No further extensions were granted beyond that noted above, and Defendant's responses, without objections, were due on [Date]. Defendant's right to object to any of the questions

propounded in set [#] of these requests has been waived. Defendant's willful refusal to respond be deemed the result of mistake, inadvertence, or excusable neglect for the purposes of relief from waiver of objections under *CCP* § 2031.300(a). Accordingly, Plaintiff requests the Court to order compelling Defendant, to serve full and complete verified responses, without objections.

# III. THIS MOVING PARTY HAS ATTEMPTED TO AVOID THIS MOTION

Although no warning or attempts at an informal resolution are required prior to making a motion to deem matters admitted where no responses are received (*Demyer v. Costa Mesa Mobile Home Estates*, 36 Cal. App. 4th 393, 395, 42 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260 (4th Dist. 1995) (disapproved on other grounds in, *Wilcox v. Birtwhistle*, 21 Cal. 4th 973, 90 Cal. Rptr. 2d 260, 987 P.2d 727 (1999)); *Leach v. Superior Court*, 111 Cal. App. 3d 902, 906, 169 Cal. Rptr. 42 (3d Dist. 1980)), Plaintiff has nevertheless made a good faith attempt to resolve this matter informally with opposing counsel, even unilaterally allowing for an extension to provide responses. (Selarz Decl., ¶4; Exhibit "B".) Plaintiff's attempt, however, was futile, necessitating the instant motion.

# IV. MONETARY SANCTIONS ARE MANDATORY AGAINST DEFENDANT FOR NECESSITATING THIS MOTION

"Misuses of the discovery process include, but are not limited to...(d) Failing to respond or to submit to an authorized method of discovery..." *CCP* § 2023.010. "To the extent authorized by the chapter governing any particular discovery method or any other provision of this title, the court, after notice to any affected party, person or attorney, and after opportunity for hearing may impose...sanctions against anyone engaging in conduct that is a misuse of the discovery process..." *CCP* § 2023.030.

Regardless of whether Defendant serves responses in substantial compliance with *CCP* § 2033.220 after filing of the motion but prior to hearing on the motion, the court must award monetary sanctions against the responding party for necessitating the motion. (*CCP* § 2033.280(c).) ("It is mandatory that the court impose a monetary sanction under Chapter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the present case, pursuant to CCP §§ 2023.010, 2023.030, and 2033.280(c), monetary sanctions are properly be awarded to Plaintiff and against Defendant and Defense Counsel, jointly. Plaintiff has incurred \$560.00 in costs and attorneys' fees in connection with this motion and enforcing this discovery. (Selarz Decl., ¶7.) Plaintiff submits that given the attempts by Plaintiff to avoid this motion, and the lack of any responses whatsoever, sanctions should properly be awarded to Plaintiff, and against Defendant and Defense Counsel of record in the amount of \$560.00, as reflected in the Declaration of Daniel E. Selarz, Esq.

# V. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter an order establishing the truth of the matters specified in Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Set [#] propounded on [Date]. Additionally, Plaintiff respectfully requests monetary sanctions be awarded in the amount of \$560.00 against Defendant and Defense Counsel, jointly, and in favor of Plaintiff, pursuant to CCP § 2033.280(c).

DATED: May 24, 2020 SELARZ LAW CORP.

> By: Daniel E. Selarz, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), [Client's Name(s)]

	1 2 3	DANIEL E. SELARZ (State Bar No. 287555)  dselarz@selarzlaw.com 11777 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 702		
	4	Telephone: 310.651.8685 Facsimile: 310.651.8681		
	5	Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), [CLIENT'S NAME(S)]		
	6			
	7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	8	COUNTY OF [COUNTY], [DISTRICT]		
	9			
	10	[PLAINTIFF(S)], an individual,	Case No. [ ] Honorable [ ]	
	11	Plaintiff, vs.	[Dept. [#]]	
.8681	12	[DEFENDANT(S)], and DOES 1 to [#],	DECLARATION OF DANIEL E. SELARZ, ESQ. AND EXHIBITS IN	
310.651	13	inclusive,	SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DEEM THE TRUTH OF MATTERS	
685 • F	14	Defendants.	SPECIFIED IN REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET NO. [#]	
T: 310.651.8685 • F: 310.651.8681	15		ADMITTED AND REQUEST FOR ORDER AWARDING MONETARY	
T: 3	16		SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANT AND DEFENSE COUNSEL IN THE	
	17		SUM OF \$560.00	
	18		Filed Concurrently with Notice of Motion and Motion to Deem the truth of matters	
	19		specified in Request for Admissions, Set No. [#] admitted and Request for Order	
	20		Awarding Monetary Sanctions Against Defendant and Defense Counsel in the Sum	
	21		Of \$560.00; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; [Proposed] Order	
	22		[California Code of Civil Procedure	
	23		("CČP") § 2033.280]	
	24		Date: [ ] Time: [ ]	
	25		Dept.: [ ]	
	26		Action Filed: [ ] Trial Date: [ ]	
	27			
	28			

1
DECLARATION OF DANIEL E. SELARZ, ESQ.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law before all courts of the State of California. My law firm, Selarz Law Corp., is counsel for Plaintiff in this action. This declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiff's Motion to Deem the Truth of Matters Specified in Request for Admissions, Set No. [#] admitted and Request for Order Awarding Monetary Sanctions Against Defendant and Defense Counsel in the Sum Of \$560.00. The following facts are within my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness herein, I can and will competently testify thereto.
- 2. On [Date], our office served Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Set [#], on Defendant [DEFENDANT'S NAME] ("Defendant"). A true and correct copy, with proof of service, is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 3. Defendant failed to provide responses to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Set [#] by the statutory deadline. CCP § 2033.250(a). [Thirty-day response plus five calendar days if served by mail (CCP § 1013(a))].
- On [Date], our office sent a Meet and Confer Letter to Defense Counsel, requesting verified substantive responses, without objections, unilaterally allowing an additional fifteen days to provide verified substantive response. A true and copy is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 5. As of the date of filing the present motion, our office has not received any responses to Plaintiff's Request for Admissions, Set [#].
- 6. No protective order was obtained by Defendant and no good cause exists for seeking such.
- 7. I ask that the court award sanctions in the amount of \$560.00. I base my request for the imposition of a sanction on the basis that it took me two hours to prepare the instant motion. My hourly wage is \$250.00 per hour times two hours, which equals \$500.00. In addition, the motion filing fee for this motion was \$60.00.

27

# SELARZ LAW CORP.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: May 24, 2020 By:

Daniel E. Selarz, Esq.

1	SELARZ LAW CORP.	^
2	DANIEL E. SELARZ (State Bar No. 287555 dselarz@selarzlaw.com	))
3	11777 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 702   Los Angeles, California 90049	
4	Telephone: 310.651.8685 Facsimile: 310.651.8681	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),	
6	[CLIENT'S NAME(S)]	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	COUNTY OF [COU	UNTY],[DISTRICT]
9		
10	[PLAINTIFF(S)], an individual,	Case No. [
11	Plaintiff,	Honorable [ ] [Dept. [#]]
12	VS.	[PROPOSED] ORDER DEEMING THE
13	[DEFENDANT(S)], and DOES 1 to [#], inclusive,	TRUTH OF MATTERS SPECIFIED IN REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET NO. [#] ADMITTED
14	Defendants.	
15		Filed Concurrently with Notice Of Motion And Motion And Motion To Deem The Truth Of Matters Specified In Request For
16		Admissions, Set No. [#] Admitted And Request For Order Awarding Monetary
17		Sanctions Against Defendant And Defense Counsel In The Sum Of \$560.00
18		Memorandum Of Points And Authorities Declaration Of Daniel E. Selarz, Esq. And
19		Exhibits
20		[California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 2033.280]
21		Date: [
22		Time: [ ] Dept.: [ ]
23		Action Filed: [
24		Trial Date: [ ]
25		•
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	1	

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

This mat	ter came on regularly before the Court for hearing on [Date]. The Court,
having reviewed	I the moving and opposing papers on Plaintiff's Motion To Deem The Truth
Of Matters Spec	cified In Request For Admissions, Set No. [#], Admitted And Request For
Order Awarding	Monetary Sanctions Against Defendant And Defense Counsel In The Sum
Of \$560.00; and	oral argument of counsel having been received by the Court:
The Cour	rt finds, adjudges and orders as follows:
1. Th	nat Plaintiff's Motion is hereby GRANTED;
2. Th	nat the truth of those matters specified in Plaintiff's Requests for
Admissions, Se	t No. [#], are deemed admitted by the Defendant and are conclusively
established agai	nst Defendant for all purposes in this action pursuant to CCP § 2033.280(b)
and CCP § 2033	3.410.
IT IS FU	RTHER ORDERED:
3. Th	nat monetary sanctions be imposed jointly against Defendant and Defense
Counsel, in the	sum of \$
4. IT	IS FURTHER ORDERED as follows:
	<u> </u>
IT IS SO	ORDERED.
Date:	
	The Honorable [Name of Judge] [City] Superior Court

 $\square$ 

T: 310.651.8685 • F: 310.651.8681

# PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. I am an employee of, or agent for, SELARZ LAW CORP., whose business address is 11777 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 702, Los Angeles, CA, 90049.

On May 24, 2020 I served the foregoing document(s) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DEEM THE TRUTH OF MATTERS SPECIFIED IN REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS, SET NO. [#] ADMITTED AND REQUEST FOR ORDER AWARDING MONETARY SANCTIONS AGAINST DEFENDANT AND DEFENSE COUNSEL IN THE SUM OF \$560.00; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND **AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF DANIEL E. SELARZ, ESQ. AND EXHIBITS;** [PROPOSED] ORDER to the following party(ies) in this action addressed as follows:

# PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

	(BY MAIL) I caused a true copy of each document, placed in a sealed envelope with
	postage fully paid, to be placed in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California. I
	am "readily familiar" with this firm's business practice for collection and processing of
	mail, that in the ordinary course of business said document(s) would be deposited with
	the U.S. Postal Service on that same day. I understand that the service shall be presumed
	invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than
	one day after the date of deposit for mailing contained in this affidavit.
	(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused to be delivered each such document by hand to each
_	addressee above.
	(BY CERTIFIED MAIL – CCP §§ 1020, et seq.) I caused said document(s) to be deposited
_	with the United States Mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, signed by
	addressee that said documents were received.
	(BY FACSIMILE) By use of facsimile machine number (310) 651-8681, I served a copy
	of the within document(s) on the above interested parties at the facsimile numbers listed
	above. The transmission was reported as complete and without error. The transmission
	report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine.
	(BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to
	accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the
	persons at their electronic notification addresses. I did not receive, within a reasonable
	time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the
	transmission was unsuccessful.
E	xecuted on May 24, 2020, in Los Angeles, California. I declare under penalty of perjury
nder the	laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
	Daniel E. Selarz
	1

PROOF OF SERVICE

# SELARZ LAW CORP. 11777 San Vicente Blvd., Suite 702 Los Angeles, California 90049 T: 310.651.8685 • F: 310.651.8681

1	SERVICE LIST
2	SENT VIA U.S. MAIL
3	[Attorney's Name]
4	[Law Firm Name] [Street Address] [City State & Zin Code]
5	[City, State & Zip Code]
6	Tel: (xxx) xxx-xxxx / Fax: (xxx) xxx-xxxx Email: [Email Address]
7	[Attorneys for Defendant [DEFENDANT'S NAME]]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	